



## 2-3-2 COHESIVE STRATEGY PARTNERSHIP

Reflecting on 2020 & Planning for 2021

### SURVEY RESULTS

Presented at the January 27, 2021 VIRTUAL meeting

**SUMMARY:** Eleven (11) participants took time to respond to questions about the 2-3-2 Partnership. Survey questions remain constant (or at least mostly constant) year-to-year so that we have a baseline for assessing changes in priorities and goals, how feedback is being addressed, recurring ideas or concerns, and tracking the valuable feedback that we receive from partners over time. Some 2020 questions have been added or altered to reflect changes in operations related to public health concerns this year.

### SURVEY DETAILS:

#### Likert Scale Responses

0 stars = disagree/least accurate 5 stars = agree/most accurate



Is the 2-3-2 group helping to  
advance your initiatives?

3.6★  
average rating




Are 2-3-2 meetings a worthwhile  
use of your time and resources?

3.7★  
average rating



## Bar Chart Responses


### Why are you part of the 2-3-2?



\*Working across boundaries came in at 54% and Leveraging funds at 9%.

\*One member added that they are part of the 2-3-2 to have a bigger conservation impact through collaboration


### What are your top 3 goals for 2021?



\*Establish a multi-party monitoring approach came in at 45% followed by Develop and coordinate consistent messaging for information and education (27%), establish the 2-3-2 as a group for project support and review (18%) and adopt common definitions (18%)

\*Members added they would like to move CFLRP funding forward and that the group should focus on not being all things to all people, make group intentions clear and then take action

## Preferred meeting format 2021 and beyond



\*Comments included: shorten meetings, shorten meetings and have more breakouts, define clearer objectives, and tie meetings to the charter

## Written Responses

### Top 2020 Achievements

*CFLRP*  
*Fuelwood*  
*Communication*  
*Expanded Geography*  
*Coordination*

\*The Rio Chama CFLRP was the top cited achievement, mentioned by 9 respondents  
 \*Bringing together CO and NM FAPS was also mentioned, as was continued progress despite COVID Challenges

### Suggestions for Improvement

*Highlight Successes*  
*CFLRP*  
*Monitoring*  
*ID Projects*  
*Task Teams*  
*Agreements*  
*Virtual Meetings*  
*Private Lands Tx*  
*FD Representation*  
*Govt Representation*

\*Funding for private lands treatment and support staff to help engage committees/task teams was mentioned  
 \*Task team/committee/topical group work between meetings was a theme

How can the 2-3-2 better support your initiatives?



- \*Face to Face meetings emphasized
- \*Anticipated challenges and opportunities including in Rx and managed fire, industry, outdoor recreation and increasing WUI residents mentioned
- \*Virtual communications should improve

How can the 2-3-2 improve productivity between meetings?




- \*Space and time for specific groups of partners to dive into tasks associated with deliverables is important
- \*Accountability and assignment of tasks will help with productivity

Additional Feedback

- Update partner list on website
- Keep up the good work!
- Figure out and pursue a project created and finished by the 232

## RAW DATA

Q1: Why are you part of the 2-3-2 (check your top 3 priorities)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
▼ Leveraging funds	9.09%	1
▼ Networking	63.64%	7
▼ Creating and Developing Partnerships	63.64%	7
▼ Sharing Information	63.64%	7
▼ Working Across Boundaries	54.55%	6
▼ Other (please specify) <a href="#">Responses</a>	9.09%	1

\*Response to “other”: Having a bigger conservation impact by collaborating

Q2: What were the top 2-3-2 achievements in 2020?

- Rio Chama CFLRP proposal and adapting the 2-3-2 to encompass the broader landscape of the proposal
- Attainment of high scoring on proposal review; establishment of interim steering committee; group coordination (by Page!); fuelwood working group coordination
- Rio Chama proposal - keeping collaboration and progress going through the pandemic
- I was just recently invited to participate with the partnership and am not aware of the achievements in 2020
- Maintain communications by providing meeting notes
- Transitioning and building support for the CFLRP opportunity
- CFLRP
- Keeping partners safe through virtual meetings (jk); putting together the Rio Chama CFLRP application
- CFLR!

- Work with CFLRP. Brining the two Forest Action Plans together
- Rio Chama CFLRP

Q3: What suggestions do you have for improvement moving forward?

- Shorter quarterly meetings and more task team meetings
- Identify specific project areas
- Shorter meetings. More active topical groups. More agreements in place to work together and monitoring
- N/A
- As others suggested quarterly meetings
- Highlight on the ground successes like use of prescribed fire and other cross boundary treatments
- CFLRP
- Keep meeting virtually part of the time to get broader geographic participation
- Keep up the good work, thanks!!
- Work on funding options for private lands treatment
- We need more engagement from committee members. I don't know how to do that without specific funding for that purpose. We also need representation from the fire districts and local government. These organizations usually behave in a feudal manner and it is hard to get them out of their silos

Q4: Is the 2-3-2 group helping to advance your initiatives?

3.6★  
average rating



	NOT REALLY	SOMETIMES	GENERALLY	MOSTLY	ALWAYS	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
★	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	18.18% 2	11	3.55

[Comments](#) (6)

\*Comments:


- Finding common interest for cross-boundary areas we've previously been considering
- Rio Chama proposal and its high ranking is a success
- Information sharing and possibly BLM moving in a direction that could benefit the end users of firewood, encourage or direct BLM in easing up permit system or how decision made that impact users

- Our prioritization process has us in lead compared to other collaborative landscapes
- At the moment, the group is CFLRP centric. Hopefully the focus will broaden as the initial newness of the CFLRP wears off. I don't think it's healthy for the group to focus too much on a program that will not be funded until 2022 at the earliest

Q5: How could we improve the group to better support your initiatives?

- Perhaps a project website? (excuse me if there is one already)
- Shorter, more focused meetings. Topical communication about interesting things happening or planned. Also maybe more blog communications that tell successes, challenges, and "fantastic failures" like the FAC Net blog did a few years ago.
- Keep communications open and face to face meeting in spring
- Connect with new federal, state, and local leadership
- Not sure
- Face to face meetings eventually
- Figuring out how to support projects on the RGNF side. Sometimes it feels like that side of the watershed is an afterthought.
- More emphasis on Rx and managed fire. More emphasis on industry as a means to treat forest. Planning for increased outdoor recreation and migrations of residents to WUI areas

Q6: What are your top 3 goals for 2021 (or suggest at least 3, if your goals are not listed)?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
▼ Establish the 2-3-2 as a group for project support and review	18.18%	2
▼ Develop shared priorities for work on the landscape	81.82%	9
▼ Enhance committee structure and productivity	54.55%	6
▼ Develop and coordinate consistent messaging for information and education	27.27%	3
▼ Share resources (labor, information, funding)	54.55%	6
▼ Establish a multi-party monitoring approach	45.45%	5
▼ Adopt common definitions	18.18%	2
Total Respondents: 11		

**\*Comments:**

- Focus on not being all things to all people, make clear the intention of the group and then take action, identify a niche and scale to operate at
- Move CFLRP funding forward

Q7: Are 2-3-2 meetings a worthwhile use of your time and resources?



	NOT WORTHWHILE	OCCASIONALLY WORTHWHILE	SOMETIMES WORTHWHILE	USUALLY WORTHWHILE	ALWAYS WORTHWHILE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
▼ ☆	0.00% 0	9.09% 1	27.27% 3	45.45% 5	18.18% 2	11	3.73


[Comments \(2\)](#)

**\*Comments:**

- Long zoom meetings are hard
- Sometimes the meetings don't start with a clear objective or action item at the end. Seems like having them to have them.

Q8: What is your preference for the format of meetings in 2021 and beyond?





ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-Person	9.09%	1
Digital	18.18%	2
Combination Digital and In-Person	81.82%	9
Total Respondents: 11		

**\*Comments:**

- Shorten the meetings
- Shorter with more topical breakouts
- Clearer objectives. Tie the meeting back to the charter

**Q9: How can the 2-3-2 improve productivity between meetings?**

- I think the 2-3-2 does an excellent job of maintaining contact between meetings. Task teams could meet more frequently to delve deeper into specific topics.
- Assign deliverable when applicable
- More paid staff facilitating work of task teams between larger group meetings
- Open dialogue
- Explore use of social media so partners can post updates, news, issues and needs on a real time and ongoing basis
- If people aren't assigned to tasks, nothing will happen. No one works for the 2-3-2 so it must be a passion project or part of someone's job
- Be careful not to overcommit partners...Don't do too much
- Not sure
- Hold committees accountable
- Obtain funding to use for more engagement from committee members

**Q10: Do you have any additional feedback for the 2-3-2**

- Update the partner list on the website – for example the Forest Stewards Guild is not listed as a partner. This year has seen a lot of growth because of the Rio Chama CFLRP, maybe there are other partners that need to be updated as well
- Keep up the good work
- No
- I am sorry as I only attended and observed minimal meetings but appreciate what you have done on our last meeting
- Still need to figure out a project created and finished by the 232. Right now we are living off the coat tails of partner projects that happen independently.