



2-3-2
COHESIVE
STRATEGY
PARTNERSHIP

NOTES
01162019

QUARTERLY PARTNERSHIP MEETING, January 16, 2019

Where: web conference (login information below)

When: 10 am – 12:00 pm

.....

NEXT MEETING:

- Web meeting in February (date TBD)
- In-person meeting in March (date TBD)

ACTION ITEMS

- **All:** Complete the survey: [LINK HERE](#)
- **All:** Complete the 2019 Committee Goals: (attached, XLS file)
- **Executive Committee:** Strategize how to build better and appropriate relationships with Tribes (Mary S. and Aaron K to work together)
- **TRAM Committee:** Meeting to identify processes (lit review) for planning and prioritizing projects (Anne Bradley & Aaron Kimple, specifically)

HIGHLIGHTS/UPCOMING EVENTS

- PODS = opportunity to bridge regions 2 & 3, plus work on individual forests with work happening on private lands (proposal forthcoming)
- RCPP has additional funding for woodlands and forest treatment (attached)
- Women Owning Woodlands Workshop hosted by San Juan Chama Partnership, 2/1 from 10 am to 4:30 pm. For more, visit: <https://www.sanjuanchama.org/>
- TREX rescheduled from 2nd & 3rd week in September
- Potential Hewlett Foundation Funding for wildlife connectivity within 2-3-2 geography
- CSFS applying for Wood Use Innovation USFS innovation grant
 - + conducting a 2/11 training session at CSU to Collaborative groups
 - Case study 4FRI
 - Tools for more profitable collaboration
- Wildlife Adapted Partnerships hosting a 2-day workshop at the end of February on Neighborhood Ambassador Program
- High Country News conducting a survey about homeowners struggling to get insurance (link from Bill)
- Shared stewardship updates: goal of 2-3-2 influence planning processes at the State level so that we can continue to work across boundaries under the agreement
- All Hands All Lands conducting pile burning this winter, private land burning in the spring (more info attached)
- At the next meeting the February meeting, subcommittees will report out on their draft goals for 2019; at the March in-person meeting, we will update and revise those goals, review survey results, reflect on 2018, and establish a group-wide workplan for 2019
- 2-3-2 CB Meeting, Statement of Findings Document should be used as a guiding document for 2019 workplans and committee goals

NOTES

IN ATTENDANCE: Aaron Kimple (MSI), Page Buono (MSI), Matt Cook (MSI), Anthony Culpepper (MSI), Bill Trimarco (WAP), Dave Lasky (FSG), Emily Hohman (CPLA), Collin Haffey (TNC), Randy Johnson (CSFS), Melissa Simmons (CSFS), Andra Thaden (CSFS), Adam Moore (CSFS), Laura McCarthy (TNC), Anne Bradley (TNC), Caitlyn Barbour (), Norman Vigil (ERASCD), Garrett Hanks (TU), Mary Stuever (NM State Forestry (NMSF), Emily Chavez (NRCS), Dagmar Llewelyn (BOR), Mark Loveall (CSFS)

10:00 – 10:15 Welcome & Introductions

10:15 – 10:45 Round Robin Project Updates

- PODS effort - Aaron
 - Carson, Rio Grande, SJNF moving forward on planning efforts
 - We want to bring in the private land component
 - Bring in key partners to conversation with federal partners
 - Bring outcomes of PODS to public
 - Interested in interface between federal/private lands
 - Anne B: Santa Fe/Carson went through this process, this can connect region 2 + 3 forests. Exploring this with Santa Fe Fireshed
 - Bill T: We should get county emergency planners at the table for this effort
 - Dave L: On using PODS work for CWPP: an effort is underway that cut the price of CWPP by 30%. One challenge is writing compliant CWPP with CO, then bring in PODS data.
- RCCP – Norman V
 - Request from RCCP for more proposals
 - Want to use all the funds – woodlands and forest treatments
 - NRCS easement program
 - Not sure what to do about stewardship program
 - Hoping for new applications to utilize all the funding
- San Juan Chama Watershed Partnership - Mary S
 - Feb 1st - Woman Owning Woodlands – 10am-4:30pm
 - Intro to forestry workshop
 - Meet at Upper Chama Soil & Water Conservation District in Tierra Amarilla, NM
 - Still open for partner involvement
- Randy Johnson (CSFS contractor)
 - Investing co forest business grant
 - Wood use innovation grant from USFS
 - 2/11 training session at CSU to Collaborative groups
 - Case study 4FRI
 - Tools for more profitable collaboration
 - Plan to apply to grant from Gates Foundation
- Adam Moore (CSFS)
 - Shared stewardship – Scott Woods coordinating
 - In charge of implementation on the ground
 - Jeff Underhill, in charge of science and data division
 - Taking the lead on update of forest action plan in CO
 - Potential projects with Good Neighbor
 - All Rio Grande in 232 will be covered by CWPP
- Emily H (CPLA)
 - San Juan TREX: 2nd and 3rd week of September

- Working with Anthony Madrid to allocate additional funding for the event
- September provides a good burn window
- 1/25 Rx burn workshop for private lands in Chama NM
 - We have resources to burn, but not many willing landowners
 - Hoping to empower landowners to be set up to burn on private land
 - CO or NM landowners? Workshop will cover regulations, risk, insurance, liability for both states.
- Dave Lasky (FSG)
 - All Hands All Lands
 - Trained 21 new firefighters
 - 16 different fire agencies involved
 - 4500 acres treated
 - Pile burning this winter
 - Excited to focus more on private land in the spring
- Bill Trimarco – WAP
 - Funding slim for on the ground treatment programs
 - Continue with education and outreach and ambassador program
 - 2 day workshop end of Feb on Neighborhood Ambassador program
 - High Country News – article on wildfire/homeowners insurance – sending out survey
 - Laura: Have surveys gone out to 232 yet? Is interested in the data, and who data is being collected from. Insurance premium tax – potential future source of funding.
 - Not yet, Bill can send out anonymous survey for article
 - Biomass Subcommittee
 - Blanca Mill – been running 2 shifts since Dec.
 - Good Wood Program – Blanca Mill, forest health products, Montrose forest products expressed interested in source verifiable program
 - CSFS were running commercials on Denver TV
 - Letter of support for wood innovations grant from 232 to be verified and signed
- Emily H – CPLA: Wildlife Committee
 - Interested in applying for a grant for wildlife management
 - Leslie Ellison
 - Hewlett foundation interested wildlife corridors
 - WLA advocated this would be a ground up process
 - There is funding attached to this, not sure what that looks like now, WCF administering a portion of it
 - Suggested bringing 232 into this conversation
 - Laura: WLA & TU have substantial roles in the process. Is this effort tied to a previous effort by ??? - wildlife corridor work group
 - Garrett, this isn't necessarily tied to that group
 - Laura: one of the reasons the group wasn't successful is because their process was not inclusive.
- Garrett –TU: Wildlife Committee
 - That proposal for wildfire connectivity mirrors 232 geography
 - 232 should have conversation
 - 500k administered thru WCF
 - Wildlife corridors isn't the best terminology
 - Wildlife connectivity – focus on human wildlife conflict
 - State bill in NM - allocates dollars to study wildlife connectivity
 - Focused on highway crossing
 - Good language in the bill
 - Important to consider aquatic connectivity as well
 - Rio Grande cut back trout – in Alamosa in 2 weeks
 - Work group on San Juan cutthroat trout
 - State agencies, conversation groups

- Exciting work planned in Wolf Creek Pass region
 - Good collaboration with timber industry folks
 - Will update as the group progresses
- Dagmar
 - Approved for VISTA in Chama

10:45 – 11:15 Tentative Presentation of Shared Stewardship (Paul Orbuch) + questions/discussion

- Aaron
 - A way to get states more involved and to prioritize areas for work on the ground
 - We are unique covering 2 states and each state will interact w/ federal lands differently
 - Forest Health Advisory council will update 232 as this progresses
 - This will be an ongoing conversation
- Mary
 - One approach is that they are co-authoring one of their shared stewardship documents
 - Forest action plan → tying in shared stewardship principles
- Does changing administrations change approach?
 - Mary: Not as of now. Still business as usual
 - Adam: To early to get a good feel on that.
- Idaho plan shows bringing in other partners/stakeholders in action items
- Mary: Outreach for tribal relations should be a focus
 - Heard of a funding mechanisms for collaboratives with tribal partners
-

11:15—11:30 Review of Statement of Findings from cross-boundary meeting

- Shared stewardship contracts came out - weeks prior
- Challenge: Are we working beside each other or working together
- Page:
 - We circulated a Statement of Findings of Cross Boundary Meeting
 - Containing feedback we received from some attendees
 - Any feedback on that document?
 - Distilled the themes from each stop, tried to organize statement of finding based on themes rather than location
 - Page will circulate the document again
- Bill T: thought it was a well put together doc
- Mary: Appreciated being able to review the doc after not being able to attend meeting
- Adam: What is our goals for this document moving forward?
 - Aaron: Help guide our work plan, future direction
- Forest Action Plan, PODS info should help us look at the quantitative components of 232 landscape
 - Anne and Aaron to strategize on next steps
 - This could be a potential focus of TRAM committee

11:30—11:45 Review of 2018 survey results

- Please take the survey if you haven't yet taken survey
- 8 people have currently submitted survey
- Survey Highlights
 - Why are you part of 232
 - Is the group advancing your initiate?
 - 3.5 rating
 - Achievements
 - Cross Boundary Meeting in October

- Pods
 - How to define success
 - We need to be bold, how do we turn that into action
 - How can 232 increase productivity in between meetings
 - More committee activity
- Page will send out survey link, list of committees and members
- How does the richness of the 232 and involvement of partners bring value in independent projects
 - Aaron: agrees and it is a tough metric how to you measure the success of the group
- These efforts will assist our 2019 goals and directions
- Emily H: Capacity is always an issue, everyone has a lot on their plate. It can be tough to collaborate at times.
- Aaron: would like to see the group as a tool to help build capacity to the partners
- Mary S: there are appropriate times to work together and appropriate times to work side by side. It shouldn't necessarily be a negative that we work side by side
 - Emily H: Agrees. Sometimes it's not possible to work lock step with each other

11:45—12:00 wrap-up + location & agenda for next meeting

- Next in person meeting in March? Meeting in Del Norte again.
 - Give federal partners time to catch up
- Have subcommittees report out updates in video conference in Feb?
 - Emily, Bill, Mary, Adam supports this
- Tim Reader support letter for Wood Innovation Grant
 - Garrett: What is the process for writing support letters?
 - Is this by consensus, or does the support letter state that we discussed it as a group?
 - Fed partners on furlough, is it alright to sign off?
 - Recommends that 232 should have standard protocols for writing support letters
 - Adam: the initial ask was before Govt shutdown, when federal partners were at the table
 - Bill: It has been through biomass committee and executive committee, he supports the letter as it is
 - Aaron: Agrees with Garrett that moving forward we need to formalize a process of writing letters of supports.

Outcomes

- Ensure partner familiarity with Shared Stewardship initiative
- Initial review of 2018
- Reflection and review of CB meeting
- Planning for post-shutdown meeting